

In presenting what I conceived to be a balanced and realistic appraisal of Soviet economic strength, there is always the risk that some newspapers may by selection convey a different impression than intended. My message was certainly not one of complacency about Soviet economic growth. Further, I do not see how anyone could take much comfort from the statement that Soviet industry is likely to grow from about 40 per cent of U. S. in 1958 to 60 per cent in 1970.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles
Director

STAT
ORR/ [] rad 27 Apr 1959

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - ORR - []

1 - Speech File

STAT
✓ 1 - ER

Executive Registry
11-3486

28 APR 1959

Mr. Herbert S. Levine

Dear Mr. Levine:

The statement in my April 8 speech delivered to the Edison Electric Institute that Soviet industry was no more than 40 per cent of U. S. industry is our best estimate based on the latest information. This does represent a downward revision of the estimate that Soviet industry was 40 per cent of U. S. industry for 1956 which I quoted a year ago. The revision resulted in part from the use of a more complete set of 1955 ruble-dollar price ratios than was formerly available and from other new data. For example, the Soviet's own data on electric power input to industry in 1958 showed it to be slightly less than 40 per cent of comparable electric power input in the United States.

It must be granted that there is always the possibility of a considerable margin of error in future projections. Your calculation that Soviet industry might reach 70 per cent of U. S. industry by 1970 is within the realm of possibility. Equally it is possible that Soviet industry may be less than 60 per cent of U. S. industry, the projection which I cited. As my speech makes clear, however, I do not believe it is likely that Soviet industry will catch up as rapidly with that of the United States as you believe, unless U. S. growth is very much slower than expected.

DOCUMENT NO. _____
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☒
☐ DECLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S D
NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____
AUTH: NR 76.2
DATE: 19/2/81 REVIEWER:

STAT

Executive Registry

11-3486

D-R-A-F-T

This does

Dear Mr. Levine:

and from other new data

The statement in my April 8 speech delivered to the Edison Electric Institute that Soviet industry "was no more than 40 percent of US industry" is our best estimate based on the latest information. ~~It~~ represents a downward revision of the estimate that Soviet industry was 40 percent of US industry for 1956 which I quoted a year ago. The revision ~~involved among other measures,~~ *resulted from* the use of a more complete set of 1955 ruble-dollar price ratios than was formerly available. *For example,* ~~Incidentally,~~ the Soviet's own data on electric power input to industry in 1958 showed it to be slightly less than 40 percent of comparable electric power input in the United States. *always the possibility of*

It must be granted that there is a considerable margin of error in ~~our~~ future projections. Your calculation that Soviet industry might reach 70 percent of US industry by 1970 is within the realm of possibility. Equally it is possible that Soviet industry may be less than 60 percent of US industry, the projection which I cited. As my speech makes clear, however, I do not believe it is likely that Soviet industry will catch up as rapidly with that of the United States as you believe, unless US growth is very much slower than expected.

There is always

In presenting what I conceived to be a balanced and realistic appraisal of Soviet economic strength, ~~I run~~ the risk that some newspapers may by selection convey a different impression than I intended. My message was certainly not one of complacency about Soviet economic growth. Further, I do not see how anyone could take much comfort from the statement that Soviet industry is likely to grow from ^{about} 40 percent of US in 1958 to 60 percent in 1970.

Allen W. Dulles
Director

Approved For Release 2003/04/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5

SENDER WILL CLASSIFY INFORMATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL		
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1	[Redacted]	Elk	27 Apr
2	Asst. to DCI		
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p>The DCI asked that you look over the changes he has made in the attached draft letter to Mr. Levine.</p> <p>If you agree with them he will have it put in final form and send it along.</p> <p>1-2 / Looks fine to me.</p> <p>[Redacted]</p>			
PLACE HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.		DATE	
Asst. to the DCI		4/24/59	
UNCLASSIFIED		SECRET	

Approved For Release 2003/04/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5